Central Karoo District Municipality



RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

Table of Contents

1.	•	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	•	RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	4
	2.1	Structural Configuration:	4
3.	,	ACCOUNTABILITY, ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	5
4.	•	RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	21
	4.1.	1 RISK ASSESSMENT:	21
		2 RISK TOLERANCE:	
	4.1.	3 RISK MITIGATION:	22
	4.1.	4 MONITORING OF RISK MITIGATION:	22
	4.1.	5 RISK REPORTING STANDARDS:	22
5.		MONITORING OF AND REPORTING ON THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT	
S	TRA	TEGY	23
6.	•	ASSURANCE	23
7.	•	RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	23
8.		REVIEW OF RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	23

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The risk management strategy of the Central Karoo District Municipality deals with the major intended and emergent initiatives taken by and involving the utilisation of its resources to reduce risk in the District Municipality.
- 1.2 These strategies may include acceptance, avoidance, mitigating and transferring of risk.
- 1.3 The risk management strategy outlines a high-level plan on how the District Municipality will go about implementing its risk management policy.
- 1.4 The risk management strategy contains the following five main elements:

1.4.1 Structural Configuration:

This element describes how the institution will be structured in terms of committees and reporting lines to give effect to the risk management policy.

1.4.2 Accountability, Roles and Responsibilities:

This element describes the authority and delegation of responsibilities to give effect to the risk management policy.

1.4.3 Risk Management Activities:

This element includes the risk assessment processes and methodologies, monitoring activities and risk reporting standards to give effect to the risk management policy.

1.4.4 Monitoring of the Achievement of the Risk Management Strategy:

This element includes assessment of whether or not key milestones are achieved. More importantly it is also monitoring whether the risk management strategy is producing the sustainable outcomes as originally envisaged.

1.4.5 Assurance Activities:

This element considers all assurance providers available to the institution and integration of their scope of responsibility.

2. RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

2.1 Structural Configuration:

- 2.1.1 The District Municipality will implement the following structure to give effect to its Risk Management Policy.
- 2.1.2 Council: The Council will strive within its capacity to achieve the objectives set out in Section 152 of the Constitution.
- 2.1.3 The Executive Authority will be as follows:
 - For the District Municipality: The Accounting Officer and the Senior Managers (Section 57 employees) of the District Municipality.
- 2.1.4 The Accounting Officer will be as follows:
 - For the District Municipality: The Municipal Manager;
 - For any Municipal Entity of which this District Municipality is the parent:

The Chief Executive Officer

- 2.1.5 The Chief Risk officer will be appointed by the Municipal Manager, be it an employee with the designation of Chief Risk Officer or an employee with the delegated responsibilities of a Chief Risk Officer.
- 2.1.5 The Risk Management Committee will consist of:
- 2.1.5.1 A member of the Audit Committee (not in the employ of the institution);
 - 2.1.5.2 Representatives of Senior Management:
 - The Municipal Manager;
 - The Senior Manager: Financial Services (CFO);
 - The Senior Manager: Corporate Services;
 - The Senior Manager: Roads Infrastructure Services.
- 2.1.6 Standing invitees to the Committee shall be:
 - 2.1.6.1 Chief Risk Officer;
 - 2.1.6.2 Chief Audit Executive;
 - 2.1.6.3 Any other person who me be co-opted to provide specialist skills, advice and counsel.
- 2.1.7 The Risk Champions will be at least one designated Section Head from each of the Directorates of the District Municipality.

- 2.1.8 The Audit Committee will be the Committee Members as appointed by Council.
- 2.1.9 The Internal Audit service will be provided by the District Municipality's Internal Audit Unit or an external service provider (co-sourced / outsourced function).
- 2.1.10 Other staff, who also have a role in Risk Management, are employees within the District Municipality with non-specific risk management responsibilities.

3. ACCOUNTABILITY, ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Legislating the implementation of risk management in public sector institutions is part of a macro strategy of Government towards ensuring the achievement of national goals and objectives.

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
ACCOUNTING	The following legislative	To derive optimal benefits, risk	To measure the Accounting
AUTHORITY	instruments provide the	management ought to be conducted	officer's effectiveness in
	legal foundation for the	in a systematic manner, using proven	leading the institution's ERM
	Accounting Authority's	methodologies, tools and techniques.	in contributing to the
	responsibility for risk		institution's goals and
	management:	The Accounting Officer must ensure	objectives, clear objectives
		that the responsibility for risk	and key performance
	For the CKDM:	management vests at all levels of	indicators have been set for
	• Section	management and that it is not only	the Officer in respect of risk
	62(1)(c) of the	limited to the Accounting Officer.	management, as included in
	MFMA;	The Accounting Officer must also	his Performance Contract.
		ensure that a risk assessment is	The Accounting Officer will
	For Municipal Entities:	conducted regularly to identify	be evaluated in terms of the
	• Section 95(c)(i)	emerging risks.	requirements set out in the
	of the MFMA.		Performance Regulations for
		High level responsibilities of the	Municipal Managers and
		Accounting Officer include:	Managers accountable to
			Municipal Managers.
		 Setting the tone at the top 	
		by supporting ERM and	
		allocating resources towards	
		the implementation thereof;	
		 Establishing the necessary 	
		structures and reporting	
		lines within the institution to	
		support ERM;	

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
	Approving the risk	
	management strategy, risk	
	management policy, risk	
	management	
	implementation plan and	
	fraud risk management	
	policy;	
	 Approving the institution's 	
	risk appetite and risk	
	tolerance;	
	 Influencing an institutional 	
	"risk awareness" culture;	
	 Approving the code of 	
	conduct for the institution	
	and holding management	
	and officials accountable for	
	adherence;	
	 Place the key risks at the 	
	forefront of the	
	management agenda and	
	devote personal attention to	
	overseeing their effective	
	management;	
	Hold management	
	accountable for designing,	
	implementing, monitoring	
	and integrating risk	
	management principles into	
	their day-to-day activities;	
	Holding the structures	
	responsible for risk	
	management activities	
	accountable for adequate	
	performance;	
	Ensuring that a conducive	
	control environment exists	
	to ensure that identified	
	risks are proactively	
	managed;	

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
	Leverage the Audit	
	Committee, Internal Audit,	
	Risk Management	
	committee and other	
	appropriate structures for	
	assurance on the	
	effectiveness of risk	
	management;	
	 Provide all relevant 	
	stakeholders with the	
	necessary assurance that	
	key risks are properly	
	identified, assessed,	
	mitigated and monitored;	
	 Consider and act on 	
	recommendations from the	
	Audit Committee, Internal	
	Audit, Risk Management	
	Committee and other	
	appropriate structures for	
	improving the overall state	
	of risk management;	
	 Provide appropriate 	
	leadership and guidance to	
	senior management and	
	structures responsible for	
	various aspects of risk	
	management;	
	Awareness of and concurring	
	with the institution's risk	
	appetite and tolerance	
	levels;	
	 Reviewing the institution's 	
	portfolio view of risks and	
	considers it against the	
	institution's risk tolerance;	
	 Influencing how strategy and 	
	objectives are established,	
	institutional activities are	
	structured, and risks are	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		identified, assessed and	
		acted upon;	
		 Requiring that management 	
		should have an established	
		set of values by which every	
		employee should abide by;	
		 Insist on the achievement of 	
		objectives, effective	
		performance management	
		and value for money;	
		 The design and functioning 	
		of control activities,	
		information and	
		communication systems, and	
		monitoring activities;	
		 The quality and frequency of 	
		reporting;	
		 The way the institution is 	
		managed including the type	
		of risks accepted;	
		 The appropriateness of 	
		reporting lines;	
		 Assign responsibility and 	
		authority;	
		 Insist on accountability. 	
CHIEF RISK	Legislating the	The primary responsibility of the	To measure the CRO's
OFFICER (CRO)	implementation of risk	CRO is to bring to bear his / her	effectiveness in leading the
	management in public	specialist expertise to assist the	institution's ERM in
	sector institutions is	institution to embed and leverage the	contributing to the
	part of a macro strategy	benefits of risk management to	institution's goals and
	of Government towards	achieve its stated objectives.	objectives and key
	ensuring the		performance indicators will
	achievement of national	To derive optimal benefits, risk	be set for the CRO in respect
	goals and objectives.	management ought to be conducted	of risk management. The
	The CRO is bound by the	in a systematic manner, using proven	following key performance
	legislation applicable to	methodologies, tools and techniques.	indicators for the CRO will be
	"other Personnel", as set	Focusing on enterprise-wide risk	considered:
	out below.	management programmes, the CRO	Maturity on the
		is tasked with the overall efficiency of	implementation of
		the ERM function. This is inclusive of	the ERM Framework;

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
The following legislative	the embedding of risk management	Risk management
instruments provide the	practices and fostering a risk aware	structures active
legal foundation for risk	culture within the institution.	and credible;
management for "Other		Realistic risk
Personnel":	The CRO effectively assumes the role	management
	of institutional advocate for ERM and	implementation plan
For CKDM:	brings specialist expertise to assist in	achieved;
• Section 78 of	integrating risk management	• Proactive
the MFMA;	throughout the institution.	identification of
		emerging risks to
for Municipal Entities:	High level responsibilities to achieve	minimize unforeseen
• Section 105 of	this include:	risks;
the MFMA.		 Implementation
	 Working with senior 	progress achieved of
	management to develop the	Loss Prevention
	overall enterprise risk	Programme;
	management vision, risk	 Lack of surprises;
	management strategy, risk	 Updated risk profile
	management policy, as well	of the institution;
	as risk appetite and	 Updated action
	tolerance levels for approval	plans for all material
	by the Accounting Authority	risks.
	/ Officer;	
	 Communicating the risk 	Evaluation will be performed
	management policy, risk	by the Accounting Officer on
	management strategy and	an annual basis.
	risk management	
	implementation plan to all	
	stakeholders in the	
	institution;	
	Setting up of the risk	
	management structure and	
	risk management reporting	
	lines within the institution;	
	Continuously driving the risk	
	management process	
	towards best practice;	
	Developing a common risk	
	assessment methodology	
	that is aligned with the	

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
	institution's objectives at	
	strategic, tactical and	
	operational levels for	
	approval by the Accounting	
	Authority / Officer;	
	 Coordinating risk 	
	assessments within the	
	institution / directorate /	
	department / section / on a	
	regular basis;	
	 Sensitising management 	
	timeously of the need to	
	perform risk assessments	
	for all major changes, capital	
	expenditure, projects,	
	institutional restructuring	
	and similar events, and	
	assist to ensure that the	
	attendant processes,	
	particularly reporting, are	
	completed efficiently and	
	timeously;	
	Assisting management in	
	developing and	
	implementing risk responses	
	for each identified material	
	risk;	
	Ensuring effective	
	information systems exist to	
	facilitate overall risk	
	management improvement	
	within the institution;	
	Continuously transferring	
	risk management principles	
	and practices, through	
	training interventions, to all	
	stakeholders within the	
	institution;	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		Advising management in the	
		development of financing	
		structures;	
		 Performing an analysis to 	
		identify emerging risks	
		facing the institution for	
		further action and	
		intervention;	
		 Collating and consolidating 	
		the results of the various	
		assessments within the	
		institution;	
		 Analysing the results of the 	
		assessment process to	
		identify trends, within the	
		risk and control profile, and	
		develop the necessary high-	
		level control interventions to	
		manage these trends;	
		Compiling the necessary	
		reports to the Risk	
		Management Committee;	
		Providing input into the	
		development and	
		subsequent review of the	
		fraud prevention strategy,	
		business continuity plans,	
		occupational health, safety	
		and environmental policies	
		and practices and disaster	
		management plans.	
		In addition to the above-mentioned	
		high-level responsibilities the CRO	
		needs to possess certain attributes to	
		function effectively and efficiently.	
RISK COMMITTEE	There is currently no	The Risk Management committee is	To measure the Risk
	legal mandate for the	responsible for assisting the	Management committee's
	establishment of a Risk	Accounting Officer in addressing its	effectiveness in the

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
Management committee.	oversight requirements of risk	institution's ERM in
However, National	management and evaluating and	contribution to the
Treasury determines	monitoring the institution's	institution's goals and
that the role of the Risk	performance with regards to risk	objectives, clear objectives
Management Committee	management. The role of the Risk	and key performance
is to develop goals,	Management Committee is to	indicators have been set for
objectives and key	formulate, promote and review the	the Risk Management
performance indicators	institution's ERM objectives, strategy	Committee in respect of risk
for the committee for	and policy and monitor the process at	management. The key
approval by the	strategic, management and	performance indicators for
Accounting Officer.	operational levels.	the Risk Management
		committee are:
	In discharging its oversight	
	responsibilities relating to risk	Risk Management
	management, the Risk Management	Policy and Risk
	committee has the following high-	Management
	level responsibilities:	Strategy and
	 Review the risk management 	Framework
	policy and strategy and	approved for the
	recommend for approval by	financial year;
	Council;	Risk Management
	Review the risk appetite and	Implementation Plan
	tolerance and recommend	approved;
	for approval by Council;	Annually report on
	Review the institution's risk	the submission of
	identification and	risks equal or > risk
	assessment methodologies	appetite to Risk
	to obtain reasonable	Committee;
	assurance of the	Review the Risk
	completeness and accuracy	Appetite as per the
	of the risk register;	Risk Management
	Evaluate the effectiveness of	Policy;
	mitigating strategies to	Quarterly progress
	address the material risks of	report of the
	the Institution;	approved
	Report to the Accounting	Implementation
	Officer any material changes	Plan;
	to the risk profile of the	Submission of
	Institution;	approved Risk
		Committee minutes

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
	Review the fraud prevention	to the Audit
	policy and recommend for	Committee on a
	approval by Council;	quarterly basis;
	Evaluate the effectiveness of	
	the implementation of the	 Submission of a
	fraud prevention policy;	statement / report
	Review any material findings	from Risk
	and recommendations by	Committee Chair to
	assurance providers on the	the Audit Committee
	system of risk management	regarding the Risk
	and monitor that	Committee's
	appropriate action is	performance as per
	instituted to address the	the Key Performance
	identified weaknesses;	Indicators on an
	 Develop goals, objectives 	annual basis.
	and key performance	
	indicators for the Committee	
	for approval by the	
	Accounting Officer;	
	 Develop goals, objectives 	
	and key performance	
	indicators to measure the	
	effectiveness of the risk	
	management activity;	
	 Set out the nature, role, 	
	responsibility and authority	
	of the risk management	
	function within the	
	Institution for approval by	
	the Accounting Officer, and	
	oversee the performance of	
	the risk management	
	function;	
	 Provide proper and timely 	
	reports to the Accounting	
	Officer on the state of risk	
	management, together with	
	aspects requiring	
	improvement accompanied	
	by the Committee's	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		recommendations to address	
		such issues.	
AUDIT	Legislating the	The Audit Committee is responsible	
COMMITTEE	implementation of risk	for providing the Accounting Officer	
	management in public	with independent council, advice and	
	sector institutions is	direction in respect of risk	
	part of a macro strategy	management. The stakeholders rely	
	of Government towards	on the Audit Committee for an	
	ensuring the	independent and objective view of	
	achievement of national	the institution's risks and	
	goals and objectives.	effectiveness of the risk management	
	The following legislative	processes.	
	instruments provide the		
	legal foundation for the	In this way, the Audit Committee	
	Audit Committee's	provides valuable assurance that	
	responsibility for risk	stakeholder interests are protected.	
	management:		
		In discharging its oversight	
	For CKDM:	responsibilities relating to risk	
	• Section 166 of	management, the audit committee:	
	the MFMA;	 Gains thorough 	
	For Municipal Entities:	understanding of the risk	
	• MFMA.	management policy, risk	
		management strategy, risk	
		management	
		implementation plan, and	
		fraud risk management	
		policy of the institution to	
		enable them to add value to	
		the risk management	
		process when making	
		recommendations to	
		improve the process;	
		 Reviews and critiques the 	
		risk appetite and risk	
		tolerance, and recommends	
		this for approval by Council;	
		Reviews the completeness of	
		the risk assessment process	
		implemented by	

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
	management to ensure that	
	all possible categories of	
	risks, both internal and	
	external to the institution,	
	have been identified during	
	the risk assessment process.	
	This includes an awareness	
	of emerging risks pertaining	
	to the institution;	
	 Reviews the risk profile and 	
	management action plans to	
	address the risks;	
	 Reviews the adequacy of 	
	adapted risk responses;	
	The audit committee must	
	monitor the progress made	
	with the management action	
	plan;	
	Reviews the progress made	
	with regards to the	
	implementation of the risk	
	management strategy of the	
	institution;	
	 Facilitates and monitors the 	
	coordination of all assurance	
	activities implemented by	
	the institution;	
	 Reviews and recommends 	
	any risk disclosures in the	
	annual financial statements;	
	 Provides regular feedback to 	
	the Accounting Officer on	
	the effectiveness of the risk	
	management process	
	implemented by the	
	institution;	
	Review the process	
	implemented by	
	Management in respect of	
	fraud prevention and ensure	
	fraud prevention and ensure	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		that all fraud related	
		incidents have been followed	
		up appropriately;	
		 Reviews and ensures that 	
		the internal audit plans are	
		aligned to the risk profile of	
		the institution;	
		Review the effectiveness of	
		the internal audit assurance	
		activities and recommends	
		appropriate action to	
		address any shortcomings;	
		Review the combined	
		assurance model.	
RISK CHAMPIONS	Legislating the	The Risk champion is a person with	To measure the Risk
	implementation of risk	the skills, knowledge and leadership	Champion's effectiveness in
	management in public	required to champion the risk	the institution's ERM in
	sector institutions is	management cause.	contributing to the
	part of a macro strategy		institution's goals and
	of Government towards	A key part of the Risk Champion's	objectives, clear objectives
	ensuring the	responsibility involves escalating	and key performance
	achievement of national	instances where the risk	indicators should be set for
	goals and objectives.	management efforts are stifled, such	the Risk Champion in respect
	The Risk Champions are	as when individuals try to block ERM	of risk management. The key
	bound by the legislation	initiatives. The Risk champion also	performance indicators for
	applicable to "Other	adds value to the risk management	the Risk Champion are:
	Personnel", as set out	process by providing guidance and	
	below.	support to manage "problematic"	Resolution of
		risks and risks of a transversal	problems identified.
	For CKDM:	nature.	
	• Section 78 of		
	the MFMA;	The Risk Champion acts as a change	
		agent in the ERM process and is	
	For Municipal Entities:	distinguished from risk co-ordinators	
	• Section 105 of	as they are trouble shooters that	
	the MFMA.	facilitate resolution of risk related	
		problems.	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		In order to be an effective and	
		efficient risk champion, he / she	
		must:	
		 Have a good understanding of risk concepts, principles and processes; Have good analytical skills to assist with the analysis of root causes to risk problems; Leadership and motivational qualities; Have good communication 	
		skills.	
MANAGEMENT	Management are bound	Management is accountable to the	To measure the
	by the legislation	Accounting Officer for designing,	Management's effectiveness
	applicable to "other	implementing and monitoring risk	in the institution's ERM in
	Personnel", as set out	management, and integrating it into	contributing to the
	below.	the day-to-day activities of the	institution's goals and
		institution. This needs to be done in	objectives, clear objectives
	For CKDM:	such a manner as to ensure that risk	and key performance
	• Section 78 of	management becomes a valuable	indicators should be set for
	the MFMA;	strategic management tool for	the Management in respect
		underpinning the efficacy of service	of risk management. The key
	For Municipal Entities:	delivery and value for money.	performance indicators for
	• Section 105 of		the Management are:
	the MFMA.	In discharging their high-level	
		responsibilities relating to risk	The business unit's
		management, Management:	performance against
			key service delivery
		Acknowledges the "augustation" of viola within	indicators, including comparison of year-
		"ownership" of risks within their functional areas and all	
			on-year
		responsibilities associated with managing such risks;	performance;
		Cascades risk management	Implementation level
		into their functional	of the ERM
		responsibilities;	Framework within
		responsibilities,	their business unit;
			then business unit,

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
	Empowers officials to	Implementation of
	perform adequately in terms	credible risk
	of risk management	management
	responsibilities through	structures within
	proper communication of	their business unit;
	responsibilities,	 Proactive
	comprehensive orientation	identification of
	and ongoing opportunities	emerging / new
	for skills development;	risks to avoid
	 Holds officials accountable 	surprises;
	for their specific risk	Zero contravention
	management	notices served by
	responsibilities;	authorities;
	 Maintains the functional risk 	 Service delivery
	profile within the	performance and
	institution's risk tolerance	improvement;
	and appetite;	• Improvement in
	 Provides reports on the 	efficiency ratios for
	functional risk management	service delivery;
	consistent with the	 % achievement of
	institution's reporting	KPI's;
	protocols (including	 Updated risk
	appearing before	registers;
	committees);	 Updated action
	 Aligns the functional and 	plans;
	institutional risk	Actual effectiveness
	management methodologies	of controls validated;
	and processes;	• Year-on-year
	 Implements the directives of 	reduction in
	the Accounting Officer	incidents/losses;
	concerning risk	 Implementation
	management;	progress achieved of
	 Maintains a harmonious 	Loss Prevention
	working relationship with	Programme;
	the CRO and supports the	 Reduction in fraud;
	CRO in matters concerning	Reduction in
	the functions risk	stakeholder
	management;	complaints.
	 Maintains a harmonious 	
	working relationship with	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		the Risk Champion and	
		supports the Risk Champion	
		in matters concerning the	
		functions risk management;	
		 Keeps key functional risks at 	
		the forefront of the	
		management agenda and	
		devote personal attention in	
		overseeing the management	
		of these risks.	
OTHER STAFF	The following legislative	Other Personnel are accountable to	
	instruments provide the	their Management for implementing	
	legal foundation for	and monitoring the process of risk	
	Other Personnel's	management and integrating it into	
	responsibility for risk	their day-to-day activities.	
	management:		
		High level responsibilities include:	
	For CKDM:		
	• Section 78 of	Familiarity with the overall	
	the MFMA;	enterprise risk management	
		vision, risk management	
	For Municipal Entities:	strategy, fraud risk	
	• Section 105 of	management policy and risk	
	the MFMA.	management policy;	
		Acting in terms of the spirit	
		and letter of the above;	
		Acting within the risk	
		appetite and tolerance levels	
		set by the business unit;	
		Adhering to the code of	
		conduct for the institution;	
		Maintaining the functioning	
		of the control environment,	
		information and	
		communication as well as	
		the monitoring systems	
		within their delegated	
		responsibility;	

	LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
		 Providing information and cooperation with other role players; Participation in risk identification and risk assessment within their business unit; Implementation of risk responses to address the identified risks. 	
INTERNAL AUDIT	The following legislative	Responsibilities of Internal Audit in	
	instruments provide the	risk management include:	
	legal foundation for Internal Audit's	Reviewing the risk	
	responsibility for risk	philosophy of the institution.	
	management:	This includes the risk	
		management policy, risk	
	For CKDM:	management strategy, fraud	
	• Section	prevention plan, risk	
	165(2)(b)(iv) of	management reporting lines,	
	the MFMA;	the values that have been	
	• International	developed for the	
	Standards for	institution;	
	the Professional	Reviewing the	
	Practice of	appropriateness of the risk	
	Internal	tolerance levels set by the	
	Auditing –	institution taking into	
	Performance	consideration the risk profile	
	Standard 2110.	of the institution;	
		Providing assurance over the	
	For Municipal Entities:	design and functioning of	
	• Section	the control environment,	
	165(2)(b)(iv) of	information and	
	the MFMA;	communication systems and	
	International Standards for	the monitoring systems;	
	Standards for	Providing assurance over the institution's viole	
	the Professional Practice of	institution's risk identification and	
	Internal		
	Auditing –	assessment processes;	
	Auditilig –		

LEGAL MANDATE	ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES	EVALUATION
Performance	 Utilising the results of the 	
Standard 2110.	risk assessment to develop	
	long term and current year	
	internal audit plans;	
	 Providing independent 	
	assurance as to whether the	
	risk management strategy,	
	risk management	
	implementation plan and	
	fraud prevention plan have	
	been effectively	
	implemented within the	
	institution;	
	 Providing independent 	
	assurance over the adequacy	
	of the control environment.	
	This includes providing	
	assurance over the	
	effectiveness of the internal	
	controls implemented to	
	mitigate the identified risks.	

4. RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

4.1 The following are the risk management activities that the District Municipality will implement together with the methodology that will be applied in each case:

4.1.1 RISK ASSESSMENT:

The District Municipality will as far as possible conduct an enterprise risk assessment annually, i.e. one that will include every directorate, department and section, however small or seemingly insignificant and no such directorate, department or section may exclude them from the assessment. Due to the limited resources available to the contracted internal auditors, the project would in all likelihood be conducted by an external service provider. Workshops will be held, per directorate, to be attended by the relevant Director and all his Managers. After conclusion of the workshops and scrutiny of the draft result of the assessment, copies of the final document will be distributed to each directorate.

It goes without saying that such an Assessment must be conducted in accordance with the IIA Standards.

4.1.2 RISK TOLERANCE:

It is important for the institution to make an informed decision on how much risk it accepts as part of normal management practice. Setting risk tolerance is a collective senior management responsibility. The output is a clearly defined tolerable level of risk established through a rigorous process of analysis and expert management judgement. Depending on the nature of the risk the tolerance may be expressed either in qualitative of quantitative terms.

4.1.3 RISK MITIGATION:

After the risk tolerance has been determined, those risks that exceed the level are to receive immediate attention by:

- Revisiting the existing controls that are inadequate;
- Designing / redesigning processes that provide controls that will mitigate the risk;
- Obtain expert advice from knowledgeable persons / companies, etc;
- To obtain the best possible result, actions taken in redesigning controls should be done through a
 collective process by the Director / Manager with their staff who can often make valuable
 contributions to finding solutions.

4.1.4 MONITORING OF RISK MITIGATION:

The Accounting Officer is ultimately responsible in ensuring that risks that require mitigation receive the necessary attention by enhancing existing / designing new control measures. However, the Senior Managers assume delegation of this duty and ensure that risk management is carried through to the managers and all other staff. The Risk committee has the responsibility of monitoring the increase in the level of the control environment. The Chief Audit Executive also has the responsibility in the development of the combined assurance plan for the District Municipality. Factors inhibiting the implementation of new or revised controls to reduce the risk exposure should be reported to the Risk Committee.

4.1.5 RISK REPORTING STANDARDS:

Over and above the annual enterprise risk assessment, risks need to be identified and reported as soon as possible. This will assist in curbing potential and actual loss.

5. MONITORING OF AND REPORTING ON THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

- As already mentioned above, this element includes the assessment of whether or not key milestones are achieved. More importantly it is also monitoring whether the risk management strategy is producing the sustainable outcomes as originally envisaged. This falls within the scope of the responsibilities of the Chief Risk Officer, Accounting Authority, and the Audit Committee. During the initial stages of implementation of the RM Strategy, a brief report should be prepared to serve before the Accounting Authority on a monthly basis of which the frequency can be extended to quarterly and eventually biannually.
- 5.2 The Accounting Authority must evaluate the progress as contained in the report and endeavour to resolve hurdles that are inhibiting the RM implementation plan. The report plus the Accounting Authority's comment / recommendation should then be submitted to the Audit Committee.

6. ASSURANCE

6.1 This process is inseparable from ERM and is as important as the aforementioned. A combined assurance plan must be compiled from the risk analysis. This will enable management to assign resources efficiently to mitigate the risks to an acceptable level and to identify who is responsible for each risk. The District Municipality will also on a continuous basis be informed of assurance (or not) that risks are being managed efficiently, effectively and economically.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

- 7.1 This document will be known as the Risk Management Strategy. The Risk Management Strategy focuses on the broad principles and depending on the merit a separate risk management implementation plan may be developed for a risk activity.
- 7.2 These plans will form part of the monitoring of the achievement of the Risk Management Strategy.

8. REVIEW OF RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

8.1 The Strategy will be reviewed annually and any changes / amendments will be recommended to Council for approval.